Page 1 of 3

Deborah R. Rosenthal (DRR)

From: Deborah R. Rosenthal (DRR)

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 12:02 PM

To: 'Tom French'

Subject: RE: Hogge v. John Crane - Proposed Stip re Remand Motion

Tom,

Thank you for your prompt response. Unfortunately your proposed dates are unacceptable, in light of Mr. Hogge's failing health, so I will move for an order shortening time and notify the court that we attempted to reach a stipulation but were unable to do so.

Regards,

Deborah

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom French [mailto:BTF@hassard.com] Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 11:36 AM

To: Deborah R. Rosenthal (DRR)

Subject: RE: Hogge v. John Crane - Proposed Stip re Remand Motion

Deborah,

The case number stamped on our papers is C07 2873 EDL.

With respect to the proposed stipulation, we are willing to stipulate to to a briefing schedule for the motion to remand that would shorten the time within which plaintiffs file their reply to our opposition and/or to shorten the time within which the motion is heard after the plaintiffs' reply is filed, but we are not willing to shorten the time within which our opposition must be filed. Among other things, I anticipate the plaintiffs will argue our client bears the burden of proof with respect to removal. As a result, we must have adequate time to respond to whatever grounds for remand are raised in the motion.

For the foregoing reasons, the briefing schedule we would propose is as follows: motion filed on 6/5/07; opposition due on or before 6/19; reply due on or before 6/22; hearing during week of 6/25 or 7/2, subject to the assigned judge's calendar. Obviously, if the motion is filed after 6/5/07; the briefing schedule would be extended accordingly.

B. Thomas French
Hassard Bonnington LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94111-3993
(415) 288-9800
(415) 288-9801 fax
btf@hassard.com

SERVICE NOTICE

B. Thomas French and Hassard Bonnington LLP do not accept or consent to the service of process, motions, pleadings, documents, or any other items by electronic format. Correspondence via electronic format does not indicate agreement or consent to acceptance of service in that format. The preceding e-mail message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

----Original Message----

From: Deborah R. Rosenthal (DRR) [mailto:DRosenthal@phhlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 10:36 AM

To: Tom French

Subject: RE: Hogge v. John Crane -- Proposed Stip re Remand Motion

When we spoke on Friday, I did not have a proposal for you prepared yet, which I told you repeatedly. My verbal representation to you that in past cases we had proposed to shorten the time for our reply only, and not defendant's opposition, was merely that: a representation of what we had done in the past.

My proposal for the briefing and hearing scheduled in this matter is what I sent to you via email on Friday.

Since you received my voicemail message this morning, you also know that I need the federal court case number and judge/department.

Thank you for your prompt attention to these matters. I look forward to hearing from you.

Deborah

----Original Message----

From: Tom French [mailto:BTF@hassard.com]

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 10:21 AM

To: Deborah R. Rosenthal (DRR)

Subject: RE: Hogge v. John Crane -- Proposed Stip re Remand Motion

Deborah,

I reviewed your proposed stipulation, and contrary to what you told me late Friday afternoon, your proposal shortens defendant's time to file its opposition from 14 days to 7 days (on Friday you said your stipulation would only affect the time within which the reply brief was required to be filed). In addition, I just received your voice-mail message in which you suggested you may want to further modify the briefing schedule. As I indicated on Friday, I need to discuss this proposed stipulation with some other people, but before I can do so I need to know exactly what the proposal is-

B. Thomas French
Hassard Bonnington LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94111-3993
(415) 288-9800
(415) 288-9801 fax
btf@hassard.com

SERVICE NOTICE

B. Thomas French and Hassard Bonnington LLP do not accept or consent to the service of process, motions, pleadings, documents, or any other items by electronic format. Correspondence via electronic format does not indicate agreement or consent to acceptance of service in that format. The preceding e-mail message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

----Original Message----

From: Deborah R. Rosenthal (DRR) [mailto:DRosenthal@phhlaw.com]

Sent: Friday, June 01, 2007 7:19 PM

To: Tom French

Subject: Hogge v. John Crane - Proposed Stip re Remand Motion

As we discussed by telephone earlier today, plaintiffs propose that the parties stipulate to having plaintiffs' remand motion heard on shortened time.

Pursuant to the Local Rules of the Northern District of California, motions must be filed and served not less than 35 days before the hearing, oppositions not less than 21 days before the hearing, and reply briefs not less than 14 days before the hearing. (See N.D. Cal. Local Rule Nos. 7-2 & 7-3.)

Due to Mr. Hogge's failing health, plaintiffs intend to file their motion for remand no later than Tuesday, June 5, 2007 and to simultaneously move for an order shortening the briefing schedule and the time for the Court to hear the motion as follows:

If plaintiffs file their motion on 6/5, that defendant's opposition be filed and served no later than 6/12 (giving defendant 7 rather than 14 days to oppose); that plaintiffs' reply brief, if any, be filed and served no later than 6/15, and that the motion be heard during the week of 6/18 in accordance with the assigned judge's motion calendar.

Attached is a draft stipulation (and supporting declaration, as required by the local rules) to this effect. Please let me know by noon on Monday whether this is acceptable, or if you have an alternative proposal, or if you are unwilling to stipulate to having plaintiffs' motion for remand heard on shortened time.

Thank you. I look forward to hearing from you.

<<JOHNCRANE stip re OST re remand - DRR dec.doc>> <<JOHNCRANE stip re OST re remand.doc>> Deborah R. Rosenthal Attorney (510) 559 9980 Ext. 240 DRosenthal@PHHLaw.com

NOTICE: This message is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original message to us at the address via the U.S. Postal Service and electronic mail as follows:

PAUL, HANLEY & HARLEY LLP 1608 Fourth Street, Suite 300 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: (510) 559-9980 Facsimile: (510) 559-9970 e-mail: NorCal@PHHLaw.com www.PHHLaw.com

PACER User: ph1401

Court: 0971 California Northern District

Date	Time	Pages	Client Code	Description	Search Criteria
06/04/2007		2		Search	Filed From: 6/1/2007 Filed To: TODAY
	09:24:27	3		Search	Filed From: 5/31/2007 Filed To: 6/2/2007
	16:20:28	1	10293	Search	Last Name: Hogge First Name: Everett
	SUB TOTAL:	6	pages		
	\$	0.48	charges]	
Date	Time	Pages	Client Code	Description	Search Criteria
06/06/2007	09:23:06	2	hogge	Search	Filed From: 6/1/2007 Filed To: 6/2/2007
	09:24:35	1	hogge	Party List	3:06-cv-02962-CRB
	09:24:47	1	hogge	Search	Last Name: john crane inc.
	14:06:05	1	10293	Case Summary	3:07-cv-02873-EDL
	14:06:47	1	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-EDL Start date: 6/1/2007 End date: 6/6/2007
	14:47:45	2		Docket Report	4:07-cv-00843-WDB
	SUB TOTAL:	8	pages		
	\$	0.64	charges		
Date	Time	Pages	Client Code	Description	Search Criteria
06/07/2007	11:11:04	1	Hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-EDL 226_0-1
	11:15:53	6	Hogge	Image9-0	3:07-cv-02873-EDL Document 9-0
	11:16:24	9	Hogge	Image10-0	3:07-cv-02873-EDL Document 10-0
	11:16:48		Hogge	Image11-0	3:07-cv-02873-EDL Document 11-0
	11:17:25	4	Hogge	Image12-0	3:07-cv-02873-EDL Document 12-0
	11:17:39	1	Hogge	Image15-0	3:07-cv-02873-EDL Document 15-0
	11:45:48	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-EDL
	12:50:30	5	Hogge	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	12:50:40	1	Hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	12:51:09	1	Hogge	Image19-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 19-0
	14:53:17	1	Hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	17:16:01	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	SUB TOTAL:	41	pages		
	\$	3.28	charges]	
Date	Time	Pages	Client Code	Description	Search Criteria
06/08/2007	08:37:11	1		Case Summary	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	08:37:19	5		Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	09:46:51	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	10:47:40	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	10:47:58	14	10293	Image23-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 23-0
	10:49:04	6	10293	Image24-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 24-0
	10:50:15	27	10293	Image25-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 25-0
	10:52:02	26	10293	Image26-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 26-0
	13:28:49	2	9358	Docket Report	4:07-cv-00843-WDB
	14:42:53	7	10293	Image28-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 28-0
	14:43:47	10	10293	Image28-1	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 28-1
	15:11:38	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	15:26:30	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	15:47:54	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	15:48:58	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:08:46	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:09:20	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	16:17:07	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:18:03	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	16:27:58	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:40:51	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:41:38	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	16:45:35	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:46:05	11	10293	Image29-0	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ Document 29-0
	16:48:25	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:51:21	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	16:52:39	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	16:58:44	5	10293	Docket Report	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
	17:00:53	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226 0-1

I	1			,,	
	17:04:50	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	17:07:48	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	17:18:52	1	hogge	History/Documents	3:07-cv-02873-MJJ 226_0-1
	SUB TOTAL:	171	pages		
	\$	13.68	charges		
				l C	*
Date	Time	Pages	Client Code	Description	Search Criteria
Date 06/13/2007		Pages	1	1	Search Criteria 3:07-cv-02873-MJJ
		Pages 5	Code	1	
	10:33:58 SUB	5 5	Code 10293	1	
	10:33:58 SUB TOTAL:	5 5	Code 10293 pages	1	
	10:33:58 SUB TOTAL:	5 0.40	Code 10293 pages	1	

Back